

Length-weight relationships of eight discarded flatfish species from Gallipoli Peninsula (Northern Aegean Sea, Türkiye): An evaluation for ecosystem-based fisheries management

Özgür Cengiz

Van Yüzüncü Yıl University, Fisheries Faculty, Van, Turkey Correspondence: <u>ozgurcengiz17@gmail.com</u>

Recieved: 09 June 2022 || Revised: 13 Oct. 2022 || Accepted: 17 Oct. 2022

©Western Philippines University ISSN: 1656-4707 E-ISSN: 2467-5903 Homepage: <u>www.palawanscientist.org</u>

How to cite:

Cengiz Ö. 2022. Length-weight relationships of eight discarded flatfish species from Gallipoli Peninsula (Northern Aegean Sea, Türkiye): An evaluation for ecosystem-based fisheries management. The Palawan Scientist, 14(2): xx-xx.

ABSTRACT

The fishing management authorities are in need of some biometric throughput and analysis with a view to the administration and protection of fishery stocks. The inputs regarding the lengths and weights of fish species have frequently been taken into account in order to divulge biological information. In the present research, length-weight relationships were extrapolated for discarded eight flatfishes off Gallipoli Peninsula (Northern Aegean Sea, Türkiye). From January 2017 to December 2017, a total of 142 individuals of eight species (*Arnoglossus imperialis, Arnoglossus laterna, Arnoglossus rueppelii, Arnoglossus thori, Symphurus nigrescens, Microchirus ocellatus, Microchirus varieagatus, Monochirus hispidus*) belonging to three families (Bothidae, Cynoglossidae, Soleidae) were collected from commercial fishermen's catches. The length-weight relationships' slopes (*b*) varied from 2.64 to 3.41. Every length-weight relationships was statistically significant (P < 0.0001). This paper embodies preliminary data on the LWRs of discarded eight flatfishes for the Gallipoli Peninsula (Northern Aegean Sea, Türkiye). Hence, data on the discarded fish species is of importance when keeping in view sustainable ecosystem-based fisheries management and, in the continuation of the long-dated investigations of the length-weight relationships of the fish species in question. This must be performed on an ongoing basis so as to monitor the current state of fish stocks. The stakeholders could utilize the results of the present research in the coming times.

Keywords: Fish biology, Gallipoli Peninsula, Northern Aegean Sea, Türkiye

INTRODUCTION

Since the late 1800s, researchers have been studying the length-weight relationships (LWRs) of fish species, and the method in question has been regarded as a valuable tool for characterizing numerous biological characteristics (Le Cren 1951; Froese 2006; Freitas et al. 2017) and to understand the management and sustainable exploitation of fish communities (Anene 2005; Al Kamel et al. 2020), up to the present. When estimating population increase in fish stocks, the length-weight relationship is frequently the initial step (Hercos et al. 2021) and because regional or temporal differences may have occurred, it should be examined and reviewed on a regular basis. As a result, information regarding the length-weight relationship is critical for the conservation of fish stocks and the implementation of



This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License

fisheries management plans (Acarli et al. 2022). They are helpful for (1) figuring out weights from smoother length measurements (Santos et al. 2002), (2) deciding if either somatic growth is allometric or isometric (Ricker 1975), (3) estimating weight-at-age, (4) conjecturing fish condition, and (5) figuring out morphologic analogies of species from various areas (Ricker 1975; Petrakis and Stergiou 1995; Stergiou and Moutopoulos 2001). Additionally, the studies of the length-weight relationships become important because of the requirement to understand the fish life cycle, particularly in areas where fishing is one of the most significant sectors and fish populations are the primary food supply for many traditional people (Freitas et al. 2014).

The number of studies regarding fisheries has traditionally focused on commercially important fish stocks. whereas the attention towards less economically significant fishery sources has decreased in recent years (Jørgensen et al. 2016). Most low-value fishes have an environmentally vital function in the marine ecosystem, and several of these animals are used as fish food in many coastal nations (FAO 2019; Han et al. 2021). For ecosystem-based fisheries management, it is critical to conceive the biological data of these animals (Pikitch et al. 2004; Zhang et al. 2016).

The order Pleuronectiformes were first named in 1758 by Linnaeus; "pleuro" meaning "on side" and "necto" meaning "swim". The flatfishes are easy to recognize since this is the only group of fishes that is not bilaterally symmetrical. The ventral side of the body is eyeless and white, while the dorsal is dark and has both eyes. They swim by the undulation of the body, and usually remain close to the bottom of the continental shelf (Aung et al. 2019). It encapsulates 793 species in 16 families, worldwide (Froese and Pauly 2022). As far as it is known, 26 species in six families (Bothidae, Citharidae, Pleuronectidae, Scopthalmidae, Soleidae, Cynoglossidae) from Turkish territorial waters were reported (Bilecenoğlu et al. 2014).

Although Gallipoli Peninsula (Northern Aegean Sea, Türkiye) exhibits the diversity in terms of the species' composition, information concerning the length-weight relations (LWRs) of the fish species in the area is still inadequate, especially for discarded fish species. This study included preliminary data on the LWRs of eight flatfishes [Arnoglossus imperialis (Rafinesque, 1810); Arnoglossus laterna (Walbaum, 1792); Arnoglossus rueppelii (Cocco, 1844); Arnoglossus thori (Kyle, 1913); Symphurus nigrescens (Rafinesque, 1810); Microchirus ocellatus (Linnaeus, 1758); Microchirus varieagatus (Donovan, 1808); Monochirus hispidus (Rafinesque, 1814)], which are discarded fish species in the Gallipoli Peninsula (Northern Sea, Türkiye) commercial fisheries and compares these results with the previous

The Palawan Scientist, 14(2): xx-xx © 2022, Western Philippines University studies in different areas of Mediterranean Basin. The studies on the LWRs of the species at issue have been carried out in different regions of the Mediterranean Basin (Lamprakis et al. 2003; Karakulak et al. 2006; Özaydın and Taskavak 2006; Özaydın et al. 2007; Bayhan et al. 2008; Çakır et al. 2008; Ilkyaz et al. 2008; Karachile and Stergiou 2008; Özekinci et al. 2009; Giacalone et al. 2010; Bilge et al. 2014; Altın et al. 2015; Yapıcı et al. 2015). Hence, the data on these species are vastly needed to have a better understanding of the functioning of any marine ecosystem and will make a significant contribution to the scientific literature for fisheries managers.

METHODS

The Mediterranean Basin has an oligotrophic feature, whereas the eastern Mediterranean exists its highest oligotrophic part (Psarra et al. 2000). Along the North-South line of the Aegean Sea, there is a tendency parallel to declining basic production values (Antoine et al. 1995; Gönülal and Dalyan 2017). That's why, the northern Aegean territories are qualified by a long oceanic crust, flat sandy/muddy land, and high nutrient contents (Maravelias and Papaconstantinou 2006) and when compared with the southern Aegean territories, these areas are higher for zooplankton and phytoplankton abundance (Theocharis et al. 1999). The northern Aegean coasts of Türkiye are separated into sub-regions to be the Edremit and Saros Bays, the Bozcaada and Gökceada Islands and the Gallipoli Peninsula (Cengiz 2021; Cengiz and Paruğ 2021). For the reasons stated above, the Gallipoli Peninsula exhibits diversity in terms of the species' composition and is also considered an important fishing area (Cengiz et al. 2012) (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Gallipoli Peninsula and the northern Aegean coasts of Türkiye.

Samples were obtained from commercial fishermen's catches around Gallipoli Peninsula during the period of January 2017 - December 2017. The criteria of Mater et al. (2011) were used to identify the

fish. In agreement with Froese and Pauly (2022), the scientific names for each species were checked. The individuals were measured to the nearest centimeter (total length) and weighed to the nearest 0.01 g (total weight). Length-weight relationships were calculated by applying an exponential pattern, $W = aL^b$ (Le Cren 1951). The exponential curve's parameters a and bwere calculated using the least-squares method over log-transformed data log $W = \log a + b \log L$, where W is total weight (g), where b is the slope of the linear regression (exponent indicating the growth type), a is the intercept (coefficient related to body form), and Wis the total weight (g), L is the total length (cm), using least-squares method. The determination the coefficient, R^2 , was used to calculate the degree of correlation between the variables. Excel 2010 for Windows was used to calculate the variables of linear relationships. Student's t-test was used to determine fish growth types using the equation of Sokal and Rohlf (1987): is = (b - 3) / SE(b), where SE(b) is the standard error of the slope, b is the slope, and ts is the t-test value. The growing style of fish is determined by the *b* value. Hereby, b > 3 denotes positive allometric growth, whereas b < 3 denotes negative allometric growth. When the value of b equals 3, the growth is isometric (Bagenal and Tesch 1978). SPSS 19 was used to assess all statistical analyses at a 5 % significance level.

RESULTS

During the research period, 142 individuals of eight species (Arnoglossus imperialis, Arnoglossus laterna, Arnoglossus rueppelii, Arnoglossus thori, Symphurus nigrescens, Microchirus ocellatus. Microchirus varieagatus, Monochirus hispidus) belonging to three families (Bothidae, Cynoglossidae, Soleidae) was evaluated. For each species, the sample size, length and weight ranges, estimated parameters of LWR (a and b), 95% confidence intervals and standard error of b value and coefficient (R^2) are shown in Table 1, respectively. Length values of the catch varied from 8.2 cm (Arnoglossus rueppelii) to 18.0 cm (Arnoglossus laterna) whereas values of weight observed between 8.78 g (Arnoglossus rueppelii) to 234.82 g (Arnoglossus laterna). The coefficients of determination (R^2) ranged from 0.95 to 0.98, and all regressions were highly significant (P <0.0001). While three species (Arnoglossus imperialis, Arnoglossus laterna, Arnoglossus thori) showed positive allometry growth regarding the growth type, four species (Arnoglossus rueppelii, Microchirus ocellatus, Microchirus varieagatus, Monochirus hispidus) displayed negative allometry growth. One species (Symphurus nigrescens) presented isometric growth. The values of b were observed from 2.64 to 3.41, while values of a varied between 0.0037 to 0.0681 (Figure 2).

Table 1. Length-weight relationships for discarded eight flatfish species from Gallipoli Peninsula (Northern Aegean Sea, Türkiye). N: Sample size; a and b: intercept and slope of length-weight relations; CI: confidence interval; SE: standard error; R^2 : the coefficient of determination

Family	Species	N	Length range (cm)	Weight range (g)	а	b	95% <i>CI</i> of <i>b</i>	SE(b)	R^2
	Arnoglossus imperialis	23	8.4-14.8	5.00-27.77	0.0045	3.26	2.88-3.64	0.1809	0.95
Bothidae	Arnoglossus laterna	30	8.8-18.0	4.59-42.79	0.0044	3.18	3.03-3.34	0.0742	0.98
Bothidae	Arnoglossus rueppelii	10	8.2-15.1	4.00-25.00	0.0078	2.92	2.56-3.29	0.1575	0.97
	Arnoglossus thori	16	8.3-13.4	4.85-25.00	0.0037	3.41	3.01-3.80	0.1826	0.96
Cynoglossidae	Symphurus nigrescens	10	9.1-12.1	9.00-19.00	0.0112	3.00	2.42-3.55	0.2449	0.95
Soleidae	Microchirus ocellatus	11	10.2-13.5	17.78-39.00	0.0321	2.70	2.34-3.05	0.1581	0.97
	Microchirus variegatus	25	9.8-15.2	12.20-37.00	0.0226	2.74	2.35-3.12	0.1877	0.95
	Monochirus hispidus	17	9.6-14.0	13.55-33.01	0.0681	2.64	2.25-3.02	0.1153	0.96



Figure 2. The curves of length-weight relationships of discarded eight flatfish species from Gallipoli Peninsula (Northern Aegean Sea, Türkiye).

DISCUSSION

Table 2 displays the comparison of the present study with the previous ones. In LWRs, the values of b ranged from 2.5 to 3.5 (Carlander 1969) or between 2 and 4 (Tesch 1971). The values of b ranged between

2.64 (*Monochirus hispidus*) and 3.41 (*Arnoglossus thori*) in this research. The tested fish species' *b* values were within these predicted limits. Although Jellyman et al. (2013) and Valle et al. (2021) accentuated that numerous reasons like season, location, fishing gear, and sex can affect length-weight relationships, Ricker

(1975) and Cengiz et al. (2019) explained that differences in b values can be influenced by ecological factors such as species-specific biological properties, environmental factors, and survey period. Likewise, Moutopoulos and Stergiou (2002) explained that variances in b values might be connected to sample size, seasonal circumstances, and sampling area, but Cabbar and Yigin (2021) justified that fishing gears' selectivity and depth of sampling have also influenced the results. The coefficient of determination (R^2) ranged between 0.95 and 0.98. While Silva-Junior et al. (2011) noted that small determination coefficients (under 0.95) might appear when a population has a small range of size. Cabbar and Yigin (2021) pointed out that a large determination coefficient indicates a wide range of sizes and a large number of individuals.

Jørgensen et al. (2016) emphasized that in recent years, with the exercise of ecosystem-based fisheries management (EBFM), interest in the conservation of resources with little or no economic importance has grown. Discards are commonly consist of undersized individuals of commercial species and non-commercial species (Demestre et al. 2018; Soykan et al. 2019). Because discarded fish species are important for biodiversity and also could influence the abundance of target species, the understanding of their population dynamics and/or life history is significant both due to interspecific relationships (Yapıcı and Filiz 2014) and for ecosystem-based fisheries

management to conserve the marine ecosystems and their continuation (Browman and Stergiou 2004; Gullestad et al. 2014). As a result, extensive research on discarded fish species is both keystone for sustainable fisheries management and essential for uncovering their ecological features on the marine food chain (Alverson et al. 1994).

The present study extrapolated, for the first time, the length-weight relationships of discarded eight flatfishes in the gillnet fishery for the Gallipoli Peninsula. These values own major significance since they specify fish growth patterns, which in turn are requisite for improving of ecosystem-based fisheries management and could be used as a reference for further biological investigations and management of species in question in different regions of the Mediterranean, Aegean Sea, or worldwide. Moreover, further legislative efforts for ecosystem-based fisheries management in Gallipoli Peninsula must reveal essential biological parameters such as growth, age, feeding, and reproduction of these species, as well as discard data such as discarded ratios and discarded amount. In addition, more researches need to be performed to figure out the intraspecific/interspecific relations of discarded species. Lastly, the information obtained from the present study should be disseminated to stakeholders (fisheries scientists, fishing management authorities, etc.).

<i>b</i> : intercept an Aegean Sea, T	a slope of leng 'ürkiye.	gth-weight relation	onsnips. * first	L-w relationship	os refere	ence for the C	Jallipoli Penins	sula, Norti	nern
Species	References	Area	Sampling gear	Sampling period	Ν	Length range (cm)	Weight range (g)	а	b

Table 2. The length-weight relationship	s of discarded flatfishes	s from previous studies in diffe	erent areas. N: Sample size; a and
b: intercept and slope of length-weight	relationships. ¹ first L-	W relationships reference for	the Gallipoli Peninsula, Northern
Aegean Sea, Türkiye.			

Species	References	Area	Sampling gear	Sampling period	Ν	range (cm)	Weight range (g)	а	b
Arnoglossus imperialis ¹	Özekinci et al. (2009)	Saros Bay (Türkiye)	Bottom trawl	September 2006 - September 2008	36	7.6-15.2	3.00-28.64	0.0039	3.29
	This study	Gallipoli Peninsula (Türkiye)	Gill net	January 2017 - December 2017	23	8.4-14.8	5.00-27.77	0.0045	3.26
	Karakulak et al. (2006)	Gökceada Island (Türkiye)	Gill net	March 2004 - February 2005	8	7.6-18.3	-	0.0150	2.74
Arnoglossus laterna ¹	Özaydın & Taşkavak (2006)	Izmir Bay (Türkiye)	Beach seine, Gill net, Bottom trawl	1998 - 2001	721	6.8-21.9	2.30-79.40	0.0052	3.16
	Özaydın et al. (2007)	Izmir Bay (Türkiye)	Bottom trawl	February 2005 - December 2005	1078	4.5-14.9	-	0.0097	2.90
	Bayhan et al. (2008)	Izmir Bay (Türkiye)	Bottom trawl	January 2002 - December 2002	796	5.6-17.1	1.20-37.83	0.0073	3.00
	Çakır et al. (2008)	Edremit Bay (Türkiye)	Bottom trawl	September 1997 - September 2000	328	5.5-20.5	8.40-392.41	0.00002	3.24
	İlkyaz et al. (2008)	Izmir Bay (Türkiye)	Bottom trawl	June 2005 - May 2006	1629	5.5-19.8	-	0.0071	3.05

Species	References	Area	Sampling gear	Sampling period	N	Length range (cm)	Weight range (g)	а	b
	Karachle & Stergiou (2008)	Thermaikos Gulf (Grecee)	Gill net, Purse seine, Trawl	June 2001 - January 2006	212	4.5-16.9	-	0.0032	3.32
	Özekinci et al. (2009)	Saros Bay (Türkiye)	Bottom trawl	September 2006 - September 2008	57	8.8-20.2	4.31-62.42	0.0046	3.18
	Giacalone et al. (2010)	Gulf of Castellammare (Italy)	Bottom trawl	Summer 2004 - Spring 2005	1455	4.0-16.0	-	0.0093	2.97
	Bilge et al. (2014)	Southern Aegean Sea (Türkiye)	Bottom trawl	December 2009 - November 2010	1305	4.5-14.9	-	0.0092	2.92
	Altın (2015)	Gökceada Island (Türkiye)	Beach seine, Beam trawl	June 2013 - June 2014	11	4.3-10.7	0.49-11.21	0.0050	3.23
	This study	Gallipoli Peninsula (Türkiye)	Gill net	January 2017 - December 2017	30	8.8-18.0	4.59-42.79	0.0044	3.18
	Lamprakis et al. (2003)	Thracian Sea (Grecee)	Bottom trawl	1996 - 1998	72	5.5-15.7	-	0.0077	2.88
	Özekinci et al. (2009)	Saros Bay (Türkiye)	Bottom trawl	September 2006 - September 2008	13	7.5-16.2	3.00-33.00	0.0081	2.91
Arnoglossus rueppelii ¹	Giacalone et al. (2010)	Gulf of Castellammare (Italy)	Bottom trawl	Summer 2004 - Spring 2005	26	5.0-10.0	_	0.0049	3.08
тисррени	Bilge et al. (2014)	Southern Aegean Sea (Türkiye)	Bottom trawl	December 2009 - November 2010	126	4.7-11.9	-	0.0037	3.17
	This study	Gallipoli Peninsula (Türkiye)	Gill net	January 2017 - December 2017	10	8.2-15.1	4.00-25.00	0.0078	2.92
	Lamprakis et al. (2003)	Thracian Sea (Grecee)	Bottom trawl	1996 - 1998	572	3.8-12.6	-	0.0060	3.15
	Karakulak et al. (2006)	Gökceada Island (Türkiye)	Gill net	March 2004 - February 2005	8	8.5-11.2	-	0.0068	3.12
	Özaydın et al. (2007)	Izmir Bay (Türkiye)	Bottom trawl	February 2005 - December 2005	20	6.17.9	-	0.0288	2.47
	Bayhan et al. (2008)	Izmir Bay (Türkiye)	Bottom trawl	January 2002 - December 2002	6	6.7-9.0	2.52-5.04	0.0442	2.16
	Çakır et al. (2008)	Edremit Bay (Türkiye)	Bottom trawl	September 1997 - September 2000	170	6.5-22.5	1.59-83.87	0.00001	2.94
A	İlkyaz et al. (2008)	Izmir Bay (Türkiye)	Bottom trawl	June 2005 - May 2006	371	4.4-12.5	-	0.0054	3.26
Arnogiossus thori ¹	Özekinci et al. (2009)	Saros Bay (Türkiye)	Bottom trawl	September 2006 - September 2008	15	8.0-13.1	3.84-23.80	0.0026	3.56
	Giacalone et al. (2010)	Gulf of Castellammare (Italy)	Bottom trawl	Summer 2004 - Spring 2005	73	6.5-10.5	-	0.0108	2.98
	Bilge et al. (2014)	Southern Aegean Sea (Türkiye)	Bottom trawl	December 2009 - November 2010	121	6.8-9.9	-	0.0328	2.39
	Altın (2015)	Gökceada Island (Türkiye)	Beach seine, Beam trawl	June 2013 - June 2014	71	3.9-12.4	0.45-18.15	0.0060	3.14
	This study	Gallipoli Peninsula (Türkiye)	Gill net	January 2017 - December 2017	16	8.3-13.4	4.85-25.00	0.0037	3.41
Symphurus nigrescens ¹	Lamprakis et al. (2003)	Thracian Sea (Grecee)	Bottom trawl	1996 - 1998	406	4.7-13.0	-	0.0029	3.45

Species	References	Area	Sampling gear	Sampling period	N	Length range (cm)	Weight range (g)	а	b
	İlkyaz et al. (2008)	Izmir Bay (Türkiye)	Bottom trawl	June 2005 - May 2006	182	7.3-12.2	-	0.0088	2.98
	Karachle & Stergiou (2008)	Thermaikos Gulf (Grecee)	Gill net, Purse seine, Trawl	June 2001 - January 2006	10	6.4-11.9	-	0.0024	3.41
	Özekinci et al. (2009)	Saros Bay (Türkiye)	Bottom trawl	September 2006 - September 2008	7	9.8-10.09	10.09-14.02	0.0075	3.15
	Yapıcı et al. (2015)	Southern Aegean Sea (Türkiye)	Bottom trawl	October 2011 - December 2011	10	7.8-10.6	-	0.0027	3.49
	This study	Gallipoli Peninsula (Türkiye)	Gill net	January 2017 - December 2017	10	9.1-12.1	9.00-19.00	0.0112	3.00
	İlkyaz et al. (2008)	Izmir Bay (Türkiye)	Bottom trawl	June 2005 - May 2006	6	7.7-12.7	T	0.0079	3.25
Microchirus ocellatus ¹	Özekinci et al. (2009)	Saros Bay (Türkiye)	Bottom trawl	September 2006 - September 2008	8	10.3-13,7	18.81-42.43	0.0326	2.72
	This study	Gallipoli Peninsula (Türkiye)	Gill net	January 2017 - December 2017	11	10.2-13.5	17.78-39.00	0.0321	2.70
	Karakulak et al. (2006)	Gökceada Island (Türkiye)	Gill net	March 2004 - February 2005	10	10.1-14.6	-	0.0137	3.02
Mianachimus	İlkyaz et al. (2008)	Izmir Bay (Türkiye)	Bottom trawl	June 2005 - May 2006	36	8.1-14.1	-	0.0044	3.31
Microchirus variegatus ¹	Özekinci et al. (2009)	Saros Bay (Türkiye)	Bottom trawl	September 2006 - September 2008	29	10.1-15.5	12.20-39.40	0.0162	2.87
	This study	Gallipoli Peninsula (Türkiye)	Gill net	January 2017- December 2017	25	9.8-15.2	11.98-37.00	0.0226	2.74
Monochirus hispidus ¹	Karachle & Stergiou (2008)	Thermaikos Gulf (Grecee)	Gill net, Purse seine, Trawl	June 2001 - January 2006	24	9.2-12.8	-	0.0537	2.45
	Özekinci et al. (2009)	Saros Bay (Türkiye)	Bottom trawl	September 2006 - September 2008	15	9.7-13.7	14.40-32.01	0.0565	2.43
	This study	Gallipoli Peninsula (Türkiye)	Gill net	January 2017 - December 2017	17	9.6-14.0	13.55-33.01	0.0681	2.64

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is grateful to commercial fishermen and the two anonymous reviewers for their valuable contributions.

REFERENCES

- Acarli D, Kale S and Çakır K. 2022. Length-weight relationships of eighteen fishes and a cephalopod from Gökçeada Island, Northern Aegean Sea, Turkey. Thalassas, 38(1): 479-486. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s41208-022-00408-6</u>
- Al Kamel NAM, Becheker A and Kara HM. 2020. Length-weight relationship of three commercially important fish species from Mocka water, southern Red Sea, Yemen. Journal of

Applied	Ichthyology,	36(3):	366-368.
https://doi.o	rg/10.1111/jai.14012	2	

- Altın A, Ayyıldız H, Kale S and Alver C. 2015. Length-weight relationships of forty-nine fish species from shallow waters of Gokceada Island, northern Aegean Sea. Turkish Journal of Zoology, 39(5): 971-975. <u>https://doi.org/10.3906/zoo-1412-15</u>
- Alverson DL, Freeberg MH, Pope JG and Murawski SA. 1994. A global assessment on fisheries bycatch and discards. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper, No: 339, Rome. 223pp.
- Anene A. 2005. Condition factors of four cichlid species of a manmade lake in Imo stat, Southeast, Nigeria. Turkish Journal of Fisheries Aquatic Sciences 5(1): 43-47.
- Antoine D, Morel A and André JM. 1995. Algal pigment distribution and primary production in the eastern Mediterranean as derived from coastal zone color scanner observations. Journal of Geophysical Research, 100(C-8): 16193-16209. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/95JC00466</u>

- Aung TH, Latt MM and Oo YN. 2019. Diversity and similarity of flatfishes (Order- Pleuronectiformes) in Mon State, Myanmar. International Marine Science Journal, 1(1): 28-37. <u>https://doi.org/10.14302/issn.2643-0282.imsj-18-2486</u>
- Bagenal TB and Tesch FW. 1978. Methods for Assessment of Fish Production in Fresh Waters. In: Bagenal T (ed). Age and growth. Oxford: IBP Handbook No. 3, Blackwell Science Publications, pp. 101-136.
- Bayhan B, Sever TM and Taşkavak E. 2008. Length-weight relationships of seven flatfishes (Pisces: Pleuronectiformes) from Aegean Sea. Turkish Journal of Fisheries Aquatic Sciences, 8(2): 377-389.
- Bilecenoğlu M, Kaya M, Cihangir B and Çiçek E. 2014. An updated checklist of the marine fishes of Turkey. Turkish Journal of Zoology, 38(6): 901-929. <u>https://doi.org/10.3906/zoo-1405-60</u>
- Bilge G, Yapıcı S, Filiz H and Cerim H. 2014. Weight-length relations for 103 fish species from the southern Aegean Sea, Turkey. Acta Ichthyologica et Piscatoria, 44(3): 263-269. https://doi.org/10.3750/AIP2014.44.3.11
- Browman H and Stergiou K. 2004. Perspectives on ecosystem-based approaches to the management of marine resources. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 274: 269-303. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps274269
- Cabbar K and Yigin C.Ç. 2021. Length-weight relationships of elasmobranch species from Gökçeada Island in the Northern Aegean Sea. Thalassas, 37(5): 497-504. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41208-021-00350-z
- Carlander KD. (1969). Handbook of freshwater fishery biology, volume 1, The Iowa State University Press, Ames, IA. 752pp.
- Cengiz Ö, Öztekin A and Özekinci U. 2012. An investigation on fishes spreading along the coasts of Gallipoli Peninsula and Dardanelles (North-eastern Mediterranean, Turkey). Firat University Journal of Science, 24(2): 47-55.
- Cengiz Ö, Paruğ ŞŞ and Kızılkaya B. 2019. Weight-length relationship and reproduction of bogue (*Boops boops* Linnaeus, 1758) in Saros Bay (Northern Aegean Sea, Turkey). Kahramanmaraş Sütçü İmam University Journal of Agriculture and Nature, 22(4): 577-582. https://doi.org/10.18016/ksutarimdoga.vi.516700
- Cengiz Ö. 2021. Opercular girth, maximum girth and total length relationships for eight fish species from the Saros Bay (northern Aegean Sea, Turkey). The Palawan Scientist, 13(2): 25-36.
- Cengiz Ö and Paruğ Ş. 2021. Growth parameters of blotched picarel (*Spicara maena* Linnaeus, 1758) from Saros Bay (Northern Aegean Sea, Turkey). Acta Natura et Scientia, 2(1): 40-48. https://doi.org/10.29329/actanatsci.2021.314.7
- Çakır DT, Koç HT, Başusta A and Başusta N. 2008. Length-weight relationships of 24 fish species from Edremit Bay Aegean Sea. e-Journal of New World Sciences Academy Natural and Applied Sciences, 3(1): 47-51.
- Demestre M, Sartor P, Garcia-de-Vinuesa A, Sbrana M and Maynou MA. 2018. Ecological importance of survival of unwanted invertebrates discarded in different NW Mediterranean trawl fisheries. Scientia Marina, 82(S1): 189-198. https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.04784.28A
- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations). 2019. FAO Yearbook: Fishery and Aquaculture Statistics, 2017. Rome, Italy.
- Freitas TMS, Souza JB, Prudente BS and Montag LFA. 2017. Length-weight relationships in ten fish species from the Nhamunda River, the Amazon Basin, Brazil. Acta Amazonica, 47(1): 75-78. <u>https://doi.org/10.1590/1809-</u> 4392201601272
- Freitas TMS, Prudente BS, Fontoura NF and Montag LFA. 2014. Length-weight relationships of dominant fish species from Caxiuanã National Forest, Eastern Amazon, Brazil. Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 30(5): 1081-1083. https://doi.org/10.1111/jaj.124366

- Froese R. 2006. Cube law, condition factor and weight–length relationships: History, meta-analysis and recommendations. Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 22(4): 241-253. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0426.2006.00805.x
- Froese R and Pauly D (eds). 2022. FishBase. World wide web electronic publication. <u>https://www.fishbase.org</u>. version (02/2022). Accessed on 25 March 2022.
- Giacalone VM, Anna GD, Badalamenti F and Pipitone C. 2010. Weight-length relationships and condition factor trends for thirty-eight fish species in trawled and untrawled areas off the coast of northern Sicily (central Mediterranean Sea). Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 26(6): 954-957. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0426.2010.01491.x
- Gönülal O and Dalyan C. 2017. Deep-Sea Biodiversity in the Aegean Sea, In Mediterranean Identities-Environment, Society, Culture, Bjelovar: IntechOpen, pp. 149-178. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.70492
- Gullestad P, Aglen A, Bjordal JA, Blom G, Johansen S, Krog J, Misund OA and Røttingen I. 2014. Changing attitudes 1970-2012: evolution of the Norwegian management framework to prevent overfishing and to secure long-term sustainability. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 71(2): 173-182. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/icesj ms/ fst094</u>
- Han D, Ma Q, Richard K and Gao C. 2021. Length-weight relationships of 10 fish species from the coastal waters of the East China Sea. Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 37(2): 347-349. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/jai.14148</u>
 Hercos AP, Prado-Valladares AC, del Favero JM, Zuchi NA,
- Hercos AP, Prado-Valladares AC, del Favero JM, Zuchi NA, Teixeira TF, Albuquerque FEA and de Queiroz HL. 2021. Length-weight relationships of ornamental fish species from Amanã Lake, Amanã Reserve, Amazonas, Brazil. Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 37(6): 985-988. https://doi.org/10.1111/jai.14217
- Ilkyaz T, Metin G, Soykan O and Kinacigil HT. 2008. Lengthweight relationship of 62 fish species from the Central Aegean Sea. Turkey. Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 24(6): 699-702. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j. 1439- 0426. 2008.</u> 01167.x
- Jellyman PG, Booker DJ, Crow SK, Bonnett ML and Jellyman DJ. 2013. Does one size fit all? An evaluation of length-weight relationships for New Zealands freshwater fish species. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research, 47(4): https://doi.org/10.1080/00288330.2013.781510
- Jørgensen LL, Planque B, Thangstad TH and Certain G. 2016. Vulnerability of megabenthic species to trawling in the Barents Sea. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 73(suppl_1): i84-i97. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsv107</u>
- Karachle KP and Stergiou KI. 2008. Length-length and lengthweight relationships of several fish species from the North Aegean Sea (Greece). Journal of Biological Research, 10: 149-157.
- Karakulak FS, Erk H and Bilgin B. 2006. Length-weight relationships for 47 coastal fish species from the northern Aegean Sea, Turkey. Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 22(4): 274-278. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0426.2006.00736.x</u>
- Lamprakis MK, Kallianiotis AA, Montopoulos DK and Stergion KI. 2003. Weight-length relationships of fshes discarded by trawlers in the North Aegean Sea. Acta Ichthyologica et Piscatoria, 33(2): 145-152.
- Le Cren ED. 1951. The length-weight relationship and seasonal cycle in gonad weight and condition in the perch (*Perca fluviatilis*). Journal of Animal Ecology, 20(2): 201-219. https://doi.org/10.2307/1540
- Maravelias CD and Papaconstantinou C. 2006. Geographic, seasonal and bathymetric distribution of demersal fish species in the eastern Mediterranean. Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 22(1): 35-42. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0426.2006.00695.x</u>

- Mater S, Kaya M and Bilecenoğlu M. 2011. Türkiye Deniz Balıkları Atlası (4th ed). Ege Üniversitesi Basımevi, İzmir, Turkey. 169pp.
- Moutopoulos DK and Stergiou KI. 2002. Length-weight and lengthlength relationships of fish species of the Aegean Sea (Greece). Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 18(3): 200-203. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1439-0426. 2002. 00281.x
- Özaydin O and Taskavak E. 2006. Length-weight relationships for 47 fish species from Izmir Bay (eastern Aegean Sea, Turkey). Acta Adriatica, 47(2): 211-216.
- Özaydin O, Uçkun D, Akalın S, Leblebici S and Tosunoglu Z. 2007. Length-weight relationships of fishes captured from Izmir Bay, Central Aegean Sea. Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 23(6): 695-696. <u>https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1439-0426. 2002.</u> 00281.x
- Özekinci U, Cengiz O, Ismen A, Altinagac U and Ayaz A. 2009. Length-weight relationships of thirteen flatfishes (Pisces: Pleuronectiformes) from Saroz Bay (North Aegean Sea, Turkey). Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances, 8(9): 1800-1801.
- Petrakis G and Stergiou KI. 1995. Weight-length relationships for 33 fish species in Greek waters. Fisheries Research, 21(3-4): 465-469. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-7836(94)00294-</u>7
- Pikitch EK, Santora C, Babcock EA, Bakun A, Bonfil R, Conover DO, Dayton P, Doukakis P, Fluharty D, Heneman B, et al. 2004. Ecosystem-based fishery management. Science, 305(5682): 346-347. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/scien ce.1098222</u>
- Psarra S, Tselepides A and Ignatiades L. 2000. Primary productivity in the oligotrophic Cretan Sea (NE Mediterranean): Seasonal and interannual variability. Progress in Oceanography, 46(2): 187-204. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6611(00)00018-5
- Ricker WE. 1975. Computation and interpretation of biological statistics of fish populations. Bulletin Fisheries Research Board of Canada 191. Department of the Environment Fisheries and Marine Service, Otawa, Canada, pp. 392.
- Santos MN, Gaspar MB, Vasconcelos P and Monteir CC. 2002. Weight-length relationships for 50 selected fsh species of the of the Algarve coast (southern Portugal). Fisheries Research, 59(1-2): 289-295. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-7836(01)00401-5

- Silva-Junior LC, Andrade AC and Vianna M. 2011. Length-weight relationships for elasmobranchs from southeastern Brazil. Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 27(6): 1408-1410. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0426.2011.01791.x
- Sokal RR and Rohlf FJ. 1987. Introduction to biostatistics, 2nd edition, New York.
- Soykan O, Bakır K and Kınacıgil HT. 2019. Demersal trawl discards with spatial and bathymetric emphasis in the Turkish coast of the Aegean Sea. Marine Biology Research, 15(1): 113-123. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/17451000.2019.1576902</u>
- Stergiou KI and Moutopoulos DK. 2001. A review of weight-length relationships of fishes from Greek marine waters. Naga ICLARM Quarterly, 24(1-2): 23-39.
- Tesch FW. 1971. Age and Growth. In: Ricker WE (ed). Methods for Assessment of Fish Production in Fresh Waters. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Publications, pp. 98-130.
- Theocharis A, Balopoulos E, Kioroglou S, Kontoyiannis H and Iona A. 1999. A synthesis of the circulation and hydrography of the South Aegean Sea and the Straits of the Cretan Arc (March 1994–January 1995). Progress in Oceanography, 44(4): 469-509. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6611(99)00041-5</u>
- Valle M, Rosas-Puchuri U and Velez-Zuazo X. 2021. Improving data deficiencies in length-weight relationships for fish species around an artificial breakwater and adjacent softbottom at the central coast of Peru. Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 37(1): 150-157. https://doi.org/10.1111/jai.14089
- Yapıcı S and Filiz H. 2014. Estimation of age, growth and reproduction of boarfish, *Capros aper*, in the South Aegean Sea. Pakistan Journal of Zoology, 46(4): 1061-1068.
- Yapıcı S, Karachle PK and Filiz H. 2015. First length-weight relationships of 11 fish species in the Aegean Sea. Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 31(2): 398-402. https://doi.org/10.1111/jai.12459
- Zhang C, Chen Y, Thompson K and Ren YP. 2016. Implementing a multispecies size-spectrum model in a data-poor ecosystem. Acta Oceanologica Sinica, 35(4): 63-73. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13131-016-0822-0

Responsible Editor: Dr. Herminie P. Palla